1	BEFORE THE ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION
2	IIIINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION
3	PUBLIC UTILITY BENCH SESSION
4	
5	
6	
7	Chicago, Illinois May 4, 2011
8	
9	Met, pursuant to notice, at 10:30 a.m.
10	BEFORE:
11	MR. DOUGLAS P. SCOTT, Chairman
12	MS. LULA M. FORD, Commissioner
13	MS. ERIN M. O'CONNELL-DIAZ, Commissioner
14	MR. SHERMAN J. ELLIOTT, Commissioner
15	MR. JOHN T. COLGAN, Acting Commissioner
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	SULLIVAN REPORTING COMPANY, by
22	Auhdikiam Carney, CSR License No. 084-004658

1 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Pursuant to the provisions of 2 the Illinois Open Meetings Act, I now convene a regularly scheduled bench session of the Illinois 3 4 Commerce Commission. With me in Chicago are Commissioners 5 Ford, O'Connell-Diaz, Elliott, Acting Commissioner б Colgan. I'm Chairman Scott. 7 8 We have a quorum. Before moving into the agenda, 9 10 according to Section 1700.10 of the Illinois 11 Administrative Code, this is the time to allow the members of the public to address the Commission. 12 13 Members of the public wishing to address the 14 Commission must notify the Chief Clerk's Office at 15 least 24 hours prior to the Bench Session. According to the Chief Clerk's Office, we have one request to 16 17 speak at today's bench session. I believe we have Mr. Raymond Gerard with us today representing the 18 19 Ivanhoe Country Club. 20 Is Mr. Gerard here? 21 MR. GERARD: Yes. 22 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Mr. Gerard, if you want to go

1 to one of the microphones and turn them on, you will 2 have 3 minutes to make your comments.

MR. GERARD: Thank you, sir.
I'm an attorney representing the
Ivanhoe Country Club. I'm here today speaking in
regard to some rate hike requests --

7 MR. MATRISCH: I'm sorry -- we can't hear him
8 in Springfield.

9 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Push the button there. Thank 10 you, sir.

MR. GERARD: I'm here today -- my name is Raymond Gerard. I'm speaking on behalf of the Ivanhoe Country Club in relation to certain rate hike requests that have been made by Aqua Illinois, Inc. The water and sewer system served by that entity services the Ivanhoe Country Club Subdivision.

17 The country club that I represent 18 operates the golf course that is part of the 19 Subdivision. Many of its members are residents in 20 that subdivision. A number of residents did not get 21 notice of the pending rate hike request except with 22 their May bills, which they're just receiving now.

1 The initial 45-day period ends May 21st. So they 2 wouldn't have had any opportunity to be here today, 3 on all practical purposes, so I'm here to speak for 4 their interests as well. The Country Club also was 5 the prior owner, as a matter of fact, of the water 6 and sewer system and it has knowledge about both of 7 those systems.

8 The purpose for my coming here this 9 morning is to simply suggest and request and 10 recommend that the rate hikes be suspended and that 11 the appropriate investigative period follow 12 thereafter. We do not have sufficient information on 13 the improvements that have been made.

14 The rate hike requests deal with a 15 number of -- seeks to recover costs on a number of 16 capital improvements. We need further information on 17 those improvements; potential cost savings; the reasonableness of any costs. Also there are other 18 revenue sources from other properties in the area 19 20 that are potentially going to be connected to this 21 system in the not too distant future so we need to 22 know whether or not those possibilities have been

considered in deferring the cost of the life span of
 the capital improvements.

3 So that is, in essence, my comments. 4 We would like to have the rate hikes suspended. The country club then would defer and intends to 5 intervene in the proceedings thereafter. б And I thank you for your time this 7 8 morning. 9 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Thank you very much, 10 Mr. Gerard. 11 Turning now to the Public Utility 12 agenda, we will start with the approval of minutes 13 from our April 5 Regular Open Meeting. 14 Is there a motion to approve the 15 minutes? 16 COMMISSIONER FORD: So moved. 17 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Is there a second? COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: Second. 18 19 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Its been moved and seconded. 20 All in favor say "aye." 21 (Chorus of ayes.) 22 Any opposed?

1	(No response.)
2	The vote is five to nothing and the
3	minutes from April 5th are approved.
4	Next up are the minutes from the
5	April 12th Regular Open Meeting.
б	Is there a motion to approve the
7	minutes?
8	COMMISSIONER FORD: So moved.
9	CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Is there a second?
10	COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: Second.
11	CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Its been moved and seconded.
12	All in favor say "aye."
13	(Chorus of ayes.)
14	Any opposed?
15	(No response.)
16	The vote is five to nothing and the
17	minutes from the April 12th ROM are approved.
18	Last up are the minutes from the
19	April 12th Emergency Special Open Meeting.
20	Is there a motion to approve the
21	minutes?
22	COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: So moved.

1 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Is there a second? ACTING COMMISSIONER COLGAN: 2 Second. CHAIRMAN SCOTT: All in a favor say "aye." 3 4 (Chorus of ayes.) 5 Any opposed? б (No response.) The vote is five to nothing and the 7 minutes from the April 12th Special Open Meeting are 8 9 approved. 10 Moving now to the electric portion of 11 today's agenda. 12 Item E-1 is Docket No. 10-0467. This 13 is ComEd's proposed general increase in electric 14 rates. We will have oral argument on this matter 15 later today and, I believe, the ALJ is available right now for a briefing as well. 16 17 JUDGE DOLAN: Thank you, Chairman. Just to start off -- we didn't really 18 19 make too many changes to the Post Exception Order. The revenue changed a little bit and the percentage 20 21 of revenue also changed slightly, but there was just 22 minor adjustments through the Order. There really

1 was not a whole lot of contested issues.

The AMI pilot funding was pretty much uncontested, long-term debt, there was an agreement between ComEd and the AG/CUB about a reduction to the distribution project; but for the most part, most of the issues were pretty contested.

Some of the more notable ones that I 7 dealt with were the straight fixed variable; the rate 8 of return; cash working capital; and then the 9 10 adjustments to the rate of return, and then the reasonableness of ComEd's 2009 contributions which is 11 pretty much a lot of your subjects of oral argument 12 13 later today. Above and beyond that, Judge Sainsot 14 has a few that she wants to talk about.

JUDGE SAINSOT: And before I forget, we're required by law to tell you how many comments there are. Currently as of about 10:00 o'clock, there were about 273 comments in the e-Docket regarding this. Some of the issues that I dealt with were the pro forma capital additions. The Post Exceptions Order doesn't change anything with regard

22 to pro forma capital additions, but it does set forth

1 more clearly that the accumulated depreciation and the ADIT are only to offset the pro forma capital 2 additions and nothing more and nothing less. 3 That's 4 something that came up in the post exceptions -- or in the briefs on exceptions -- there were various 5 arguments made one way or the other. Some people б wanted accumulated depreciation and add it as of June 7 30th, 2011, and then you had the other situation 8 where it would have been too early. So that Order 9 10 did -- I think the Post Exceptions Order did make it clear that we're just doing -- we're just evening it 11 12 off, nothing more and nothing less.

13 The other thing that the Post 14 Exceptions Order goes into more detail about is rate 15 case expense. I think most of the parties would agree that the \$8.5 million in rate case expense was 16 17 in large part undocumented. The Order concludes essentially that because there's been a change in the 18 19 law requiring specific assessment of justness and 20 reasonableness of rate case expense, there has to be 21 some documentation as to rate case expense now in order for us to make that assessment and that 22

1 conclusion. So the Order continues to have an opening of a rulemaking regarding that issue. 2 And, finally, the Long-Term Incentive 3 4 Compensation Program, the LTIP. Just briefly, Staff 5 sought a disallowance of 17 percent regarding that program and CUB sought a disallowance of 50 percent, б and the Order before you doesn't disallow anything 7 because the metrics -- all of the metrics benefit 8 ratepayers. And Staff's original conclusion which 9 10 was that emissions reductions and Smart Grid are not part of delivery services is true, but they still 11 12 benefit ratepayers. 13 Okay. Any questions? 14 COMMISSIONER FORD: I did like your note that 15 you sent to the people about the pro forma issue and the case that was already in the court, that they 16

17 should not come in and act as if we had to go with 18 the law. So I did note that.

19 COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: I will reserve my 20 questions for this afternoon.

21 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Thank you, Judges. I22 appreciate that.

1 Item E-2 is Docket No. 10-0527. This is ComEd's alternative regulation proposal under 2 Section 9-244 of the Public Utilities Act. Oral 3 4 argument requests have been made and have since been withdrawn in this matter, and the Commission plans to 5 enter an Order in this docket at its May 24th Regular б Open Meeting. 7 For today, I believe we have Judge 8 Haynes available for a briefing. 9 10 JUDGE HAYNES: Good morning. This case 11 concerns ComEd's request for approval of its proposed 12 Alternative Regulation Program, Rate ACEP. Under the 13 proposal, ComEd would pick a project, for instance, 14 in this case the Electric Vehicle Project and set a 15 budget for the project. That budget would then be 16 submitted to the Commission for approval. Once the 17 budget is approved, ComEd begins to recover its actual capital costs through Rate ACEP as long as the 18 costs are within plus or minus 5 percent of the 19 20 budget. If actual costs are over 105 percent of the 21 budget, ComEd must wait for its next rate case to 22 seek recovery. If costs are below 95 percent, ComEd

1 and ratepayers share the so-called savings 50/50. For O&M costs, ComEd immediately 2 recovers them through its Rate ACEP and under its 3 4 proposal it would recover its actual O&M costs 5 reduced by 5 percent. ComEd would never recover more than the budgeted amounts of the O&M, but the 5 б percent reduction is capped at \$2 million for all 7 projects, including any future projects. 8 9 The Order before the Commission finds 10 that the Company's proposal does not meet the 11 requirements of Section 9-244 of the Act mainly 12 because it's based on easily manipulated budgets and 13 always results in higher rates for ratepayers with no 14 ratepayer benefit from the actual Rate ACEP mechanism 15 itself. And the Post Exceptions Order before you has 16 very minimal word changes from the initial Proposed 17 Order. 18 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Any questions? 19 (No response.) Commissioner Elliott? 20 21 COMMISSIONER ELLIOTT: Not yet. I still need 22 to do a little more review. I'm sure there will be

1 in the future.

2 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Anyone? (No response.) 3 4 Thank you very much. Moving on, Item E-3 is Docket 5 No. 10-0570. This is ComEd's Year 4 through 6 Energy б Efficiency Plan filed under 8-103 of the Public 7 Utilities Act. 8 9 We had a rehearing in this matter 10 concerning language related to the Commission's 11 jurisdiction over DCEO's Efficiency Plan with the 12 goal of ensuring the consistency between language in 13 the ComEd and Ameren Efficiency Orders and clarifying 14 our jurisdiction over DCEO's filing. 15 ALJ Haynes recommends that the 16 Commission enter an Order on rehearing reflecting 17 those changes. Is there any discussion? 18 19 (No response.) Is there a motion to enter the Order? 20 21 COMMISSIONER ELLIOTT: So moved. CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Is there a second? 22

1 ACTING COMMISSIONER COLGAN: Second. CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Its been moved and seconded. 2 All in favor say "aye." 3 4 (Chorus of ayes.) 5 Any opposed say "nay." б (No response.) The vote is five to nothing and the 7 Order is entered. We will use this five to nothing 8 9 vote for the remainder of the Public Utilities Agenda 10 unless otherwise noted. 11 Item E-4 is Docket No. 10-0666. This 12 is Tonya Nunn's complaint as to billing and/or 13 charges against Ameren. ALJ Tapia recommends that 14 the Commission enter an Order dismissing the 15 complaint without prejudice for a lack of 16 prosecution. 17 Is there any discussion? 18 (No response.) 19 Any objection? 20 Hearing none, the Order is entered and the docket is dismissed. 21 22 Item E-5 is Docket No. 10-0724. This

is Joseph Schmidt's complaint as to billing and/or
 charges against Ameren.

The Company has filed a motion to dismiss the case for lack of jurisdiction, and ALJ Tapia recommends that the Commission enter an Order dismissing the complaint with prejudice on those grounds.

8 Is there any discussion?

9 (No response.)

10 Any objections?

11 (No response.)

Hearing none, the Order is entered andthe docket is dismissed.

14 Items E-6 and E-7 can be taken
15 together. These items concern customer complaints
16 against ComEd.

In each case the parties have apparently resolved their differences and brought a Joint Motion to Dismiss, which the ALJs recommend that we grant.

Is there any discussion?(No response.)

1	Any objections?
2	(No response.)
3	Hearing none, the Joint Motions to
4	Dismiss are granted.
5	Items E-8 through E-14 can be taken
б	together. These items concern applications for the
7	licensure of Agents, Brokers, and Consultants under
8	Section 16-115C of the Public Utilities Act.
9	In each case ALJ Yoder recommends that
10	the Commission enter an Order granting the requested
11	certificate.
12	Is there any discussion?
13	(No response.)
14	Any objections?
15	(No response.)
16	Hearing none, the Orders are entered
17	and the certificates are granted.
18	Item E-15 is Illinois Gas & Electric's
19	request for a Certificate of Service Authority to
20	Operate as an Alternative Retail Electric Supplier in
21	Illinois. ALJ Tapia recommends that the Commission
22	enter an Order granting the requested certificate.

1 Is there any discussion? ACTING COMMISSIONER COLGAN: I have a question 2 for Judge Tapia. 3 4 Is she available? 5 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Judge Tapia, are you available? б JUDGE TAPIA: Good morning. 7 ACTING COMMISSIONER COLGAN: I noticed that 8 there had been twelve complaints filed against this 9 10 applicant in each of the last two years. And the 11 Attachment 20, which I reviewed, said -- all it said 12 was that the Company couldn't avoid anything --13 there's nothing they could have done to avoid these 14 complaints. 15 I'm just wondering if there's any other information about that that we should know 16 17 about. 18 JUDGE TAPIA: No, Commissioner. There's no other information other than what they stated in 19 20 their application. 21 ACTING COMMISSIONER COLGAN: That's all they stated, is they couldn't avoid these complaints? 22

1 JUDGE TAPIA: Correct.

MR. HICKEY: Commissioner? 2 ACTING COMMISSIONER COLGAN: Yes. 3 4 MR. HICKEY: This is Steve Hickey. I have Attachment 20 in front of me 5 and it also states that the complaints were filed in б regards to customer service inquiries and rate 7 8 issues. 9 ACTING COMMISSIONER COLGAN: Right. 10 MR. HICKEY: That's all they said. 11 ACTING COMMISSIONER COLGAN: Okay. Thank you. 12 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Any further discussion? 13 (No response.) 14 Any objections? 15 (No response.) Hearing none, the Order is entered and 16 17 the certificate is granted. 18 Item E-16 is Adagio Energy's request 19 for a Certificate of Service Authority to Operate as 20 an Alternative Retail Electric Supplier in Illinois. 21 ALJ Tapia recommends that the Commission enter an 22 Order granting the requested certificate.

1	Is there any discussion?
2	Any objections?
3	Hearing none, the Order is entered and
4	the certificate is granted.
5	Items E-17 through E-20 can be taken
6	together. These items concern petitions to protect
7	against the disclosure of confidential and/or
8	proprietary information in the petitioner's report
9	filed with the Commission. In each case the ALJ
10	recommends entry of an Order granting the requested
11	protective relief.
12	Is there any discussion?
13	(No response.)
14	Any objections?
15	(No response.)
16	Hearing none, the Orders are entered.
17	Turning to Gas, Item G-1 is Docket
18	No. 08-0627. This is AmerenIP's reconciliation case
19	concerning revenues collected under gas adjustment
20	charges. ALJ Albers recommends that the Commission
21	enter an Order approving the reconciliation.
22	Is there any discussion?

(No response.)
Any objections?
(No response.)
Hearing none, the Order is entered.
Items G-2 and G-3 can be taken
together. These items each concern customer
complaints against Peoples Gas. In each case the
parties have apparently settled their differences and
brought a Joint Motion to Dismiss, which ALJ Riley
recommends that we grant.
Is there any discussion?
(No response.)
Any objections?
(No response.)
Hearing none, the Joint Motion to
Dismiss are granted.
Item G-4 is Docket No. 11-0207. This
is Nordic Energy Services' Petition for Confidential
and/or Proprietary treatment for its 2010 Annual
Dekatherm Report. ALJ Yoder recommends that the
Commission enter an Order granting the Company's
requested protective relief.

1	Is there any discussion?
2	(No response.)
3	Any objections?
4	(No response.)
5	Hearing none, the Order is entered and
6	the relief is granted.
7	Item G-5 is Docket No. 11-0269. This
8	matter concerns an informational statement filed by
9	Peoples Gas in connection with its proposed issuance
10	of up to \$50 million in bonds or notes. ALJ Hilliard
11	recommends that the Commission enter an Order
12	granting authority for the issuance of the securities
13	and also directing Peoples to file petitions under
14	Section 7-102 of the Act for further approval of the
15	purchase and resale of the bonds.
16	Is there any discussion?
17	(No response.)
18	Any objections?
19	(No response.)
20	Hearing none, the Order is entered.
21	Moving now to Telecommunications.
22	Item T-1 concerns a filing by Frontier North

1 regarding a tariff change to delete references to central office rooftop placement of microwave towers 2 for pole location as the Company does not allow 3 4 central office rooftop placement of microwave towers. Staff recommends that the Commission allow the 5 Company's proposal by not suspending the filing. б Is there any discussion? 7 8 (No response.) Any objections? 9 10 (No response.) 11 Hearing none, the filing will not be 12 suspended. 13 Item T-2 is Docket No. 10-0218. This 14 is WideOpenWest Illinois' Application For a State-Issued Authorization to Provide Cable Service 15 Pursuant to Section 401 of the Cable Law of 2007. 16 17 Is there any discussion on this 18 matter? 19 (No response.) 20 No Commission action is required on this matter. We will note for the record that the 21 Statutory Notification has been filed. 22

1	Item T-3 is Docket No. 11-0051. This
2	is Telefonia USA's Application for a Certificate of
3	Local Exchange, Interexchange and Wireless Authority
4	to Operate as a Reseller of Telecommunications
5	Services in Illinois. ALJ Teague recommends that the
б	Commission enter an Order granting the requested
7	certificate.
8	Is there any discussion?
9	(No response.)
10	Any objections?
11	(No response.)
12	Hearing none, the Order is entered.
13	Item T-4 is Docket No. 11-0220. This
14	is Master Call's Application for a Certificate of
15	Interexchange Authority to Operate as a Reseller and
16	Facilities-Based Carrier of Telecommunication
17	Services throughout Illinois. ALJ Tapia recommends
18	that the Commission enter an Order granting the
19	requested authority.
20	Is there any discussion?
21	(No response.)
22	Any objections?

1	(No response.)
2	Hearing none, the Order is entered.
3	Items T-5 and T-6 can be taken
4	together. These items are petitions by Marshall
5	County and Putnam County requesting authorization for
б	minor modifications to their 9-1-1 Emergency Systems
7	coverage. In each case ALJ Jones recommends that the
8	Commission enter an Order granting the petitions and
9	approving the County's request.
10	Is there any discussion?
11	(No response.)
12	Any objections?
13	(No response.)
14	Hearing none, the Orders are entered.
15	Item T-7 is this Docket No. 11-0110.
16	This item concerns a Joint Petition by Illinois Bell
17	Telephone Company and CenturyLink Wholesale seeking
18	approval of the 3rd Amendment to their
19	Interconnection Agreement dated January 26th, 2011,
20	pursuant to 47 U.S.C. Section 252. ALJ Baker
21	recommends that the Commission enter an Order
22	approving the amendment to the Interconnection

1 Agreement.

2	Is there any discussion?
3	(No response.)
4	Any objections?
5	(No response.)
6	Hearing none, the Order is entered.
7	Item T-8 concerns a potential citation
8	proceeding with Movida Communications for failure to
9	maintain its corporate status. Staff recommends that
10	the Commission enter an Order initiating the citation
11	proceeding against the Company.
12	Is there any discussion?
13	(No response.)
14	Any objections?
15	(No response.)
16	Hearing none, the Initiating Order is
17	entered.
18	Item T-9 is Docket No. 09-0381. This
19	item concerns a rulemaking for a revision of Title
20	83, Part 730, of the Administrative Code to update
21	the standards of service for local exchange carriers.
22	ALJ Hilliard recommends that the Commission enter an

1 Order adopting the Proposed Amendments with an effective date of June 1st, 2011. 2 Is there any discussion? 3 4 (No response.) 5 Any objections? б (No response.) Hearing none, the Order is entered. 7 Item T-10 is Docket No. 10-0578. 8 This concerns a repeal of Title 83, Part 792, of the 9 10 Administrative Code in light of the repeal of Section 11 13-505.1 of the Public Utilities Act. ALJ Kimbrel 12 recommends that the Commission enter an Order 13 repealing the rules with an effective date of June 14 1st, 2011. 15 Is there any discussion? 16 (No response.) 17 Any objections? 18 (No response.) 19 Hearing none, the Order is entered. Item T-11 is Docket No. 10-0512. 20 This 21 is Telrite's Application for Designation of Eligible Telecommunications Carrier Under the 22

1 Telecommunications Act of 1996. ALJ Riley recommends that the Commission enter an Order granting the 2 application. 3 4 Is there any discussion? 5 (No response.) Any objections? б (No response.) 7 Hearing none, the Order is entered. 8 Item T-12 is Docket No. 11-0056. 9 This is Assurance Home Phone Services' Application For 10 11 Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier Under the Telecommunications Act of 1996. 12 The 13 Applicant has filed a Motion to Withdraw its 14 application and ALJ Riley recommends that we grant the motion. 15 Is there any discussion? 16 17 (No response.) 18 Any objections? 19 (No response.) 20 Hearing none, the motion is granted and the application is withdrawn. 21 22 The Item T-13 is Docket No. 11-0079.

1 This is Mail Holdings and Gridley Telephone's Joint Application for approval of change in the ownership 2 of the majority of Gridley's voting capital stock 3 4 pursuant to Section 7-204 of the Public Utilities Act 5 and for all other appropriate relief. ALJ Tapia recommends that the Commission enter an Order б approving the stipulation agreed to by the parties in 7 this matter, including Staff, and granting the 8 requested relief. 9 10 Is there any discussion? 11 (No response.) 12 Any objections? 13 (No response.) 14 Hearing none, the Order is entered. 15 Items T-14 through T-22 can be taken These items each concern citation 16 together. 17 proceedings against telecommunications carriers for 18 failure to file an Annual Report with the Commission. 19 In each case the Company is now apparently in 20 compliance with the reporting requirements and a 21 Motion to Dismiss has been filed, which the ALJ 22 recommends we grant.

1	Is there any discussion?
2	(No response.)
3	Any objections?
4	(No response.)
5	Hearing none, the motions are granted
6	and the citation proceedings are dismissed.
7	Item T-23 is Docket No. 11-0296. This
8	is a Petition by Covad Communications for
9	Confidential and/or Proprietary Treatment of the
10	Company's 2101 Annual Report. ALJ Tapia recommends
11	that the Commission enter an Order granting the
12	requested protective relief.
13	Is there any discussion?
14	(No response.)
15	Any objections?
16	(No response.)
17	Hearing none, the Order is entered and
18	the relief is granted.
19	Items T-24 through T-29 can be taken
20	together. These items each concern citation
21	proceedings against telecommunications carriers for
22	failure to file an Annual Report with the Commission.

1 In each case ALJ Tapia recommends entering an Order revoking the Company's certificate for failure to 2 file its Annual Report. 3 4 Is there any discussion? 5 (No response.) б Any objections? 7 (No response.) Hearing none, the Orders are entered 8 and the certificates are revoked. 9 10 Moving on to Water and Sewer, Item W-1 concerns tariffs filed by the Illinois-American Water 11 12 Company to revise the public fire protection rate for 13 customers in South Beloit, establish public fire 14 protection rates for customers in Rockton Township, 15 and establish public fire protection rates for eleven customers in the Harlem-Roscoe Fire Protection 16 17 District. Staff recommends that the Commission allow the Company's proposal by not suspending the filing. 18 19 Is there any discussion? 20 (No response.) 21 Any objections? 22 (No response.)

1 Hearing none, the filing will not be 2 suspended. 3 Item W-2 is Docket Nos. 06-0669 and 06-0685 consolidated. This item concerns a dispute 4 5 between the Village of Monee and Aqua Illinois surrounding sewage service. The parties have б apparently resolved their differences and brought a 7 Joint Motion to Dismiss, which ALJ Yoder recommends 8 we grant. 9 Is there any discussion? 10 11 (No response.) 12 Any objections? 13 (No response.) 14 Hearing none, the Joint Motion to 15 Dismiss is granted. Item W-3 is Docket No. 11-0059. 16 This 17 is Great Northern Utilities' proposed general 18 increase in water rates. Tariffs were filed in this matter on December 22nd, 2010, and we'll need to 19 enter a Resuspension Order to continue the rate case 20 for another six months' time. 21 22 Is there any discussion?

1 (No response.) 2 Any objections? 3 (No response.) 4 Hearing none, the Resuspension Order 5 is entered. Our first miscellaneous item today б concerns a draft letter from the ICC in support of 7 the Federal Legislation concerning transmission 8 allocation. 9 10 Do we have someone from Staff to brief 11 us on this letter? 12 Anyone? 13 MR. VANDERLAAN: Yes, sir. This is Bill 14 VanderLaan in Springfield. 15 I essentially drafted the letter in support of a Senate Bill 400 known as the Electric 16 17 Transmission Customer Protection Act. What it is, is 18 it's a proposed amendment to Section 205 of the 19 Federal Power Act. Its intent is to strengthen 20 Section 205 by requiring that any electric 21 transmission rate that would be approved by FERC -that includes the cost of the new transmission 22

facilities -- be based on an allocation of costs that is reasonably proportionate to measurable economic or reliability benefits at the facilities projected to provide to ratepayers.

5 In sum, S.400 intends to ensure that 6 electricity consumers are not forced to pay for new 7 transmission lines that do not provide them an 8 measurable and proportionate benefit. This is 9 consistent with the Seventh Circuit decision in the 10 ICC versus FERC.

11 The letter also goes on to give a 12 little bit of history or background as to the issues 13 that we've had with RTOs and cost allocation and some 14 of the impact that they've had on Commonwealth Edison 15 territory and PJM and in the middle class as well as 16 with the order that FERC approved establishing the 17 MVP Transmission Project Category. Then it basically concludes urging Senators Durbin and Kirk to support 18 19 the amendment.

20 As far as status, check the Thomas 21 letter to Congress and basically the bill was read 22 and introduced to the Energy and Natural Resources

1 Committee and not much has happened since then, as I understand it. The word on the street, if you will, 2 is that a lot of the energy legislation is waiting 3 4 for FERC to issue some of these pending orders that they've had on these issues. 5 I would also note that the letter was б drafted in two forms. One is that it would be either 7 from all the Commissioners that are willing to 8 support it or a letter from the Chairman 9 10 individually. So that concludes my summary and if 11 you have any questions, I'll try and answer them. 12 13 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Any questions? 14 COMMISSIONER FORD: The letter is straight to 15 the point. Excellent letter. 16 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Is there a motion to approve 17 the letter? 18 COMMISSIONER ELLIOTT: So moved. 19 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Is there a second? 20 COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: Second. 21 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Any further discussion? 22 (No response.)

1	All in favor say "aye."
2	(Chorus of ayes.)
3	Any opposed?
4	(No response.)
5	The "ayes" have it and the letter will
6	be sent we can circulate that and if you want to
7	sign on to it, you can do so.
8	Lastly, we have four sets of
9	Benchmarks to approve and we will take those
10	together. The Benchmarks to be approved today are
11	the Ameren Energy Products Benchmarks, the ComEd
12	Standard Products Benchmarks, the Ameren Renewable
13	Energy Certificate Benchmarks, and the ComEd
14	Renewable Energy Certificate Benchmarks.
15	Is there a motion to approve these
16	Benchmarks?
17	COMMISSIONER FORD: So moved.
18	CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Is there a second?
19	COMMISSIONER ELLIOTT: Second.
20	CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Its been moved and seconded.
21	All in favor say "aye."
22	(Chorus of ayes.)

1	Any opposed, say "nay."
2	(No response.)
3	The vote is five to nothing and the
4	Benchmarks for these four procurements are approved.
5	Judge Wallace, any other matters to
6	come before the Commission today?
7	JUDGE WALLACE: No, I believe that's it,
8	Mr. Chairman.
9	CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Thank you, sir.
10	Hearing none, this meeting stands
11	adjourned. We'll return at 1:00 with oral arguments
12	in the ComEd rate case.
13	(And those were all the
14	proceedings had.)
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	